1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CHRISTOPHER HORSLEY,	No. 2:18-cv-2901 KJN P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, and SHERIFF SCOTT JONES,	<u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18	By an order filed February 13, 2019, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to		
19	the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on defendants. That		
20	thirty-day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court's order.		
21	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is		
22	directed to assign a district judge to this case; and		
23	IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ.		
24	P. 41(b).		
25	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge		
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days		
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections		
28	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and		
		1	

1	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	
2	may waive the right to appeal the District Court'	s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
3	Cir. 1991).	
4	Dated: April 3, 2019	
5		Ferdall & Newman
6	4 2001 6	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	/hors2901.fusm	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
	11	