1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	THOMAS JOSEPH MELGER,	No. 2:18-cv-3045 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND
14	COUNTY OF PLACER, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed July 23, 2019, plaintiff's amended and second complaints were dismissed	
18	and thirty days leave to file a third amended complaint was granted. Plaintiff was warned that	
19	failure to file a third amended complaint within 30 days would result in a recommendation that	
20	this action be dismissed. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a third	
21	amended complaint.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district	
23	court judge to this case; and	
24	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
25	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen after	
28	being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with	

1	the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and	
2	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	
3	waives the right to appeal the District Court'	s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.
4	1991).	
5	Dated: September 10, 2019	Carop U. Delany
6		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
7		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8		
9		
10	1	
11	melg3045.fta	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		