1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MOODY WOODROW TANKSLEY, No. 2:18-cv-3102 KJN P 12 Plaintiff. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND 13 RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 SACRAMENTO COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By an order filed December 18, 2018, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma 18 19 pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his prison trust account statement, or pay the court's 20 filing fee, and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this 21 action be dismissed. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to 22 the court's order and has not filed the required documents. In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is 23 24 directed to assign a district judge to this case; and IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. 25 26 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge

assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days

after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written

27

28

objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: January 25, 2019 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE tank3102.fifp