1		
1		
2		
3 4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	TOU CHRISTMAS THAO,	No. 2:18-cv-3178 AC P
11	Petitioner,	
12	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
13	STU SHERMAN,	RECOMMENDATIONS
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks habeas relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
17	2254 and has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. ECF	
18	Nos. 6, 7. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
19	636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	For the reasons stated herein, the Court will grant petitioner leave to proceed in forma	
21	pauperis. It will also recommend that this action be dismissed as duplicative of Thao v. Sherman,	
22	No. 2:17-cv-2396 MCE AC P (" <u>Sherman I</u> ").	
23	I. IN FORMA PAUPERIS APPLICATION	
24	Examination of the in forma pauperis application reveals that petitioner is unable to afford	
25	the costs of suit. See ECF Nos. 6, 7. Accordingly, the application to proceed in forma pauperis	
26	will be granted. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).	
27	////	
28	////	
	1	

1

II.

RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS

2	In the instant petition, petitioner challenges his fifteen-year enhanced sentence for assault	
3	with a firearm that was imposed in Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 10F06846. See	
4	ECF No. 1 at 2-3. A review of the Court's records ¹ indicates that <u>Sherman I</u> is an earlier-filed	
5	habeas petition pending in this Court, which contests the same sentence enhancement on the same	
6	grounds. Compare ECF No. 1 at 1-3, with Sherman I, ECF No. 1 at 1-4. Because the instant	
7	petition is a duplicate, the Court will recommend that it be dismissed as such.	
8	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a	
9	District Court Judge to this action.	
10	IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the petition (ECF No. 1) be DISMISSED as	
11	duplicative of Thao v. Sherman, No. 2:17-cv-2396 MCE AC P.	
12	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
13	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
14	after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written	
15	objections with the Court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate	
16	Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections	
17	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.	
18	<u>Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
19	DATED: November 20, 2019	
20	allen Clane	
21	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	¹ A court may take judicial notice of its own records and the records of other courts. <u>See United</u> States v. Howard, 381 F.3d 873, 876 n.1 (9th Cir. 2004); United States v. Wilson, 631 F.2d 118,	
28	<u>States V. Howard</u> , 381 F.3d 875, 876 II.1 (9th Cir. 2004); <u>Officed States V. Wilson</u> , 051 F.2d 118, 119 (9th Cir. 1980).	