25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 EDDIE FELIX, No. 2:18-cv-3185 DJC AC P 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 JOHN CASEY, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff filed a motion for extension of time to file objections to the findings and recommendations dated November 7, 2023. 18 19 In addition, plaintiff has requested appointment of counsel. District courts lack authority 20 21 22 23 24

to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his

claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d

965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel).

The burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. <u>Id.</u> Circumstances

common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel.

Having considered the factors under <u>Palmer</u>, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel at this time.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 61) is granted;
- 2. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of this order in which to file objections to the findings and recommendations; and
- 3. Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 61) is denied without prejudice.

DATED: December 12, 2023

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE