

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ARON MIRON,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
J. KR PAN,  
  
Defendant.

No. 2:18-CV-3267-JAM-DMC-P

**FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 6, 2021, the Court stayed this action for 90 days and directed Plaintiff to file a status report upon expiration of the stay. Plaintiff was warned that failure to file a status report may result in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110. To date, Plaintiff has not complied.

The Court must weigh five factors before imposing the harsh sanction of dismissal. See Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000); Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987). Those factors are: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its own docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to opposing parties; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions. See id.; see also Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam). A warning that the action may be dismissed as an appropriate

1 sanction is considered a less drastic alternative sufficient to satisfy the last factor. See Malone,  
2 833 F.2d at 132-33 & n.1. The sanction of dismissal for lack of prosecution is appropriate where  
3 there has been unreasonable delay. See Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir.  
4 1986).

5 Having considered these factors, and in light of Plaintiff's failure to file a status  
6 report as directed, the Court finds that dismissal of this action is appropriate.

7 Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends that:

8 1. This action be dismissed, without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and  
9 failure to comply with court rules and orders; and

10 2. All pending motions, ECF Nos. 30, 31, and 32, be denied as moot.

11 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District  
12 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 14 days  
13 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written  
14 objections with the court. Responses to objections shall be filed within 14 days after service of  
15 objections. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal. See  
16 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

17  
18 Dated: November 29, 2021



19 DENNIS M. COTA  
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28