

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD HAYES,

Plaintiff,

v.

SOUTHWEST AIRLINES; CREDIT ONE
BANK; and FISHES & LOAVES,

Defendants.

No. 2:19-cv-134-KJM-EFB PS

ORDER

On March 31, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.¹

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . .”).


¹ Although it appears from the file that plaintiff’s copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

1 Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by
2 the record and by the proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The Findings and Recommendations filed March 31, 2020, are ADOPTED; and
- 5 2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to state a claim as set forth in
6 the February 6, 2020 order (ECF No. 3).

7 DATED: April 23, 2020.

8 
9 _____
10 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28