

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VIRGIL DOUGLAS RANDALL,
Petitioner,
v.
SACRAMENTO COUNTY JUDGE,
Respondent.

No. 2: 19-cv-0150 KJN P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed January 31, 2019, petitioner was ordered to file an in forma pauperis affidavit or to pay the appropriate filing fee, within thirty days, or his application would be dismissed. The thirty-day period has now expired, and petitioner has not responded to the court’s order, has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit, and has not paid the appropriate filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district judge to this action; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the

1 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 Dated: March 18, 2019

4 
5

KENDALL J. NEWMAN
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7
8 Rand150.fr
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28