1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANTE MILLER, aka D'ANTE MILLER,	No. 2:19-cv-0237 TLN KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By an order filed February 13, 2019, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to	
18	the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on defendants. That	
19	thirty-day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court's order.	
20	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
21	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
22	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
23	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
24	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
25	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and	
26	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	
27	/////	
28	/////	
l	1	4

1	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th	
2	Cir. 1991).	
3	Dated: March 20, 2019	
4	Fredal O. Newman	
5	KENDALL J. NEWMAN	
6	/mill0237.fusm UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	1	