

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LIFALFA GREEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARY BATCHELOR, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:19-cv-0538-EFB P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 10, 2020, the court determined that plaintiff's complaint had alleged, for screening purposes, a viable First Amendment retaliation claim against defendant Batchelor, but had not alleged any viable claims against defendants Myers, Difuntorum, Bayoneta, August, or Moreno. ECF No. 12. The court informed plaintiff he could proceed with the claim against defendant Batchelor or file an amended complaint within 30 days. *Id.* Plaintiff has elected to proceed only with the claim against defendant Batchelor. *See* ECF No. 15.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this case.

////

////

1 Further, IT IS RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's claims against defendants Myers,
2 Difuntorum, Bayoneta, August, and Moreno be dismissed without prejudice.

3 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
4 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
5 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
6 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
7 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections
8 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v.*
9 *Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

10 Dated: April 13, 2020.

11 
12 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28