1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ARTHUR CARR,	No. 2:19-cv-0688-TLN-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	A. BALAJI, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	When plaintiff commenced this civil rights action, he was a state prisoner proceeding	
18	without counsel. ECF No. 1. On February 12, 2020, the court screened the pro se complaint	
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. ECF No. 6. The court dismissed the complaint, explained the	
20	deficiencies therein, and granted plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint to	
21	cure the deficiencies. Id. Despite multiple extensions of time, plaintiff did not file an amended	
22	complaint as ordered. Accordingly, on August 24, 2020, the court recommended that this case be	
23	dismissed. ECF No. 21.	
24	On October 26, 2020, while the recommendation of dismissal remained pending, plaintiff	
25	retained counsel. ECF No. 27. Counsel has filed objections to the findings and recommendations	
26	(see ECF No. 29, erroneously captioned "First Amended Complaint"), arguing that the	
27	deficiencies identified in the court's screening order can be cured if given the opportunity. ECF	
28	No. 29. The court construes the filing as yet another request for extension of time. Given that	
		1

1	counsel had only recently entered the case and in an abundance of caution, the request is granted.	
2	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:	
3	1. The August 24, 2020 findings and recommendations (ECF No. 21) are	
4	WITHDRAWN;	
5	2. Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint within 30 days from the date of service of	
6	this order; and	
7	3. Failure to file an amended complaint that complies with the court's February 12, 2020	
8	screening order (ECF No. 6) may again result in a recommendation of dismissal.	
9	DATED: December 9, 2020.	
10	EDMUND F. BRENNAN	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20	2	