1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CHARLES LEWIS BOBO, No. 2:19-cv-00755 AC (PS) 12 Plaintiff. 13 ORDER and v. 14 COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS SECURITY, 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 Plaintiff is proceeding in this social security action pro se. The action was accordingly 19 referred to the undersigned for pretrial matters by E.D. Cal. R. ("Local Rule") 302(c)(21) and 20 (15). On May 6, 2019, this court issued an order granting plaintiff leave to proceed in forma 21 pauperis and ordering plaintiff, within 14 days, to submit to the United States Marshal a 22 completed summons and copies of the complaint, and to file with the court a statement certifying 23 such submission. ECF No. 3. This deadline passed, and the United States Marshal has 24 not received the required documents, nor has plaintiff filed a certificate of their submission. 25 On August 23, 2019, the court ordered plaintiff to show cause by September 4, 2019 why 26 this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. ECF No. 6. The court warned plaintiff 27 that failure to show completion of service and comply with the order would result in a 28 recommendation that the case be dismissed. Plaintiff has not provided any response.

1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly assign a district judge to this action. IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the court's order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one (21) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Local Rule 304(d). Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: September 16, 2019 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE