1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JEAN MARC VAN DEN HEUVEL,	No. 2:19-cv-806-JAM-EFB PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	ROBERT C. BOWMAN, LAURA RIECH, STEVEN RAWSON,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	On August 6, 2020, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
19	§ 1915(e)(2). The court dismissed the complaint for failure to state a claim, explained the	
20	deficiencies therein, and granted plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint to	
21	cure the deficiencies. ECF No. 4. The order warned plaintiff that failure to file an amended	
22	complaint could result in the dismissal of this action. The time for acting has passed and plaintiff	
23	has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. Thus, it appears	
24	that plaintiff is unable to cure the defects in the complaint.	
25	Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice	
26	for failure to state a claim as set forth in the August 6, 2020 order (ECF No. 4).	
27	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
28	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
		1

after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the	
objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The	
parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to	
appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez	
v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
Dated: September 9, 2020.	
EDMUND F. BRENNAN	
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
2	