

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GLORIA ETTA MORELAND,
Plaintiff,
v.
RAYTHEON COMPANY
Defendant.

No. 2:19-cv-00906 MCE AC (PS)

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The action was accordingly referred to the undersigned for pretrial matters by E.D. Cal. R. (“Local Rule”) 302(c)(21). On July 15, 2019, this court issued an order granting plaintiff leave to proceed in forma pauperis and ordering plaintiff, within 30 days, to submit an amended complaint. ECF No. 3. This deadline passed without any filing from plaintiff.

On August 21, 2019, the court ordered plaintiff to show cause, within 14 days, why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. ECF No. 4. The court warned plaintiff that failure to comply with the order would result in a recommendation that the case be dismissed. Plaintiff has not provided any response.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the court’s order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110.

1 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
2 assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one
3 (21) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
4 objections with the court. Such document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s
5 Findings and Recommendations.” Local Rule 304(d). Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
6 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order.
7 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

8 DATED: September 6, 2019

9 
10 ALLISON CLAIRE
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28