	Case 2:19-cv-01136-JAM-KJN Document 64	4 Filed 11/23/20 Page 1 of 3
1	Charles Kelly Kilgore (SBN 173520)	
2	Em: Idefendem@gmail.com 9025 Wilshire Blvd.	
3	Penthouse Suite Beverly Hills, CA 90211	
4	Ph: (310) 994-9883 Fx: (413) 691-7310	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff:	
6	Joseph McDaniel	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	JOSEPH MCDANIEL	CASE:2:19-cv-01136 JAM KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER re
13	Vs.	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF
14	JOE LIZARRAGA, ET AL., WARDEN,	TIME: PER DOCUMENTS 54 and
15	MCSP; DR. CARMELINO GALANG, MD,	42
16	SJGH; MS. KELLY MARTINEZ, RN, MCSP; DR. JERRY CROOKS, MD, SJGH;	
17	DR. ROBERT M. HAWKINS, MD, MCSP; DR. MOHAMED IBRAHIM, MD, DHM; MS.	Judge: The Honorable Kendall
18	ANABEL TORALBA, RN, MCSP; MS. L. MICAEL, RN, MCSP; DR. JAMES LIN,	J. Newman
19	MD, MHS, INDIVIDUALLY, AND IN	Trial Date: None set
20	THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITES,	Action Filed: June 20, 2019
21	Defendants	
22		
23		
24	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding through counsel. On November 13,	
25	2020, plaintiff's counsel filed two motions for sixty-days extensions of time, both signed	
26	by counsel under penalty of perjury. However, both motions appear to be identical.	
27	Although counsel docketed the motions as applying to findings and recommendations	
28		

1 docketed as ECF Nos. 42 and 54, the motions do not specifically reference the August 2 7, 2020 findings and recommendations (ECF No. 42). In addition, the motions state 3 that this is "my first request for enlarment [sic] of time in which to file Plaintiff's 4 OBJECTIONS TO THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE 5 6 MAGISTRATE JUDGE' in the above-named case." (ECF Nos. 59 at 1-2; 60 at 1-2.) 7 While such statement is true as to the October 15, 2020 findings and 8 recommendations, such statement is not true as to the August 7, 2020 findings and 9 recommendations.<sup>1</sup> Thus, the court will not consider either motion as applying to the 10 11 August 7, 2020 findings and recommendations. Because the motions are duplicative, 12 the second motion is stricken. Just as counsel was previously advised concerning his 13 obligation to calendar court deadlines (ECF No. 48 at 1), counsel should carefully 14 review documents before filing them on the official court record. In an abundance of 15 16 caution, plaintiff's counsel is granted fourteen days in which to file a motion for 17 further extension of time to file objections to the August 7, 2020 findings and 18 recommendations.<sup>2</sup> 19

20

26 <sup>2</sup> Should counsel again request an extension of time to file
27 objections to the August 7, 2020 findings and recommendations, he should provide substantial cause to support such request, and
28 explain his delay. For example, in the November 13, 2020 motion, counsel states that he is "awaiting important documents" from a

<sup>21 &</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Counsel may recall that plaintiff filed an untimely pro se motion to extend the deadline to file objections to the August 7, 2020, which was stricken, and counsel was granted an extension of time to properly request an extension of time. On September 14, 2020, plaintiff was granted sixty days in which to file objections to the August 7, 2020 findings and recommendations. Thus, plaintiff has had over three months in which to prepare and file objections.

## Case 2:19-cv-01136-JAM-KJN Document 64 Filed 11/23/20 Page 3 of 3

1	Plaintiff's first request to extend time to file objections to the October 15, 2020		
2	findings and recommendations is granted.		
3 4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
5	1. Plaintiff's November 13, 2020 motion (ECF No. 60) is duplicative of his first		
6	motion (ECF No. 59) and is stricken.		
7	2. The Clerk of the Court shall strike the second motion (ECF No. 60).		
8 9	3. Plaintiff's November 13, 2020 motion (ECF No. 59) is granted.		
10	4. Plaintiff shall file objections to the October 15, 2020 findings and		
11	recommendations (ECF No. 54) within sixty days from the date of this order.		
12	Dated: November 23, 2020		
13	Dated: November 23, 2020		
14	Fordall D. Newman		
15	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
16			
17	/mcda1136.ext.dup		
18			
19			
20 21			
21			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	California state agency, but he does not indicate when he requested such documents.		