1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MIGUEL BELMONTE,	No. 2:19-cv-1189 KJM AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	WINKFIELD, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On August 25 and September 17, 2020, the magistrate judge issued findings and	
21	recommendations, which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any	
22	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. (ECF	
23	Nos. 24, 25). Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,	
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed	
26	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law	
27	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court	
28	/////	
		1

1	\ldots ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations issued August 25, 2020 (ECF No. 24) and
5	September 17, 2020 (ECF No. 25), are ADOPTED in full;
6	2. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction (see ECF No. 18 at 4) is DENIED;
7	3. This action is DISMISSED for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be
8	granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1); and
9	4. The clerk of court is directed to close this case.
10	DATED: March 30, 2021.
11	100 a a a
12	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2