1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MADIHA MINER,	No. 2:19-cv-01625-MCE-CKD PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND
14	PROPERTY CONCEPTS, INC., et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On September 12, 2019, the court gra	nted plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis,
18	dismissed plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend, and provided plaintiff with 30 days to file a	
19	first amended complaint. (ECF No. 4.) Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to timely comply with	
20	the order would result in a recommendation t	hat this action be dismissed. (<u>Id.</u>)
21	Plaintiff ultimately failed to file an amended complaint as ordered. Nor did plaintiff file a	
22	notice of voluntary dismissal. As such, the co	ourt considered whether the action should be
23	dismissed. However, the court first attempted lesser sanctions in light of plaintiff's pro se status	
24	and the court's desire to resolve the action on the merits. Accordingly, on October 21, 2019, the	
25	court ordered plaintiff, within 14 days, to: (1) show cause in writing why the action should not be	
26	dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal	Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) based on her failure to
27	comply with the court's orders and failure to	prosecute the case; and (2) file a first amended
28	complaint in compliance with the court's Sep	tember 12, 2019 order. (ECF No. 5.) Plaintiff was
		1

1	expressly cautioned that failure to timely comply with the court's order would result in a
2	recommendation that this action be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
3	Procedure 41(b). (<u>Id.</u>)
4	Although the applicable deadline has now passed, and despite the court's clear
5	admonitions, plaintiff again entirely failed to respond to the court's order. Therefore, at this
6	juncture, the court has little choice but to recommend dismissal of the action pursuant to Federal
7	Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to comply with court orders and failure to prosecute the
8	action.
9	Eastern District Local Rule 110 provides that "[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply
10	with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of
11	any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court."
12	Moreover, Eastern District Local Rule 183(a) provides, in part:
13	Any individual representing himself or herself without an attorney
14	is bound by the Federal Rules of Civil or Criminal Procedure, these Rules, and all other applicable law. All obligations placed on
15 16	"counsel" by these Rules apply to individuals appearing <u>in propria</u> <u>persona</u> . Failure to comply therewith may be ground for dismissal, judgment by default, or any other sanction appropriate under these Rules.
17	See also King v. Atiyeh, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987) (stating that "[p]ro se litigants must
18	follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants"), overruled on other grounds. A
19	district court may impose sanctions, including involuntary dismissal of a plaintiff's case pursuant
20	to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), where that plaintiff fails to prosecute his or her case or
21	fails to comply with the court's orders, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or the court's local
22	rules. See Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 32, 44 (1991) (recognizing that a court "may act
23	sua sponte to dismiss a suit for failure to prosecute"); Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest
24	Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) (stating that courts may dismiss an action pursuant to
25	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) sua sponte for a plaintiff's failure to prosecute or comply
26	with the rules of civil procedure or the court's orders); Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir.
27	1995) (per curiam) (stating that "[f]ailure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground
28	for dismissal"); <u>Ferdik v. Bonzelet</u> , 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992) (explaining that,

1	"[p]ursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), the district court may dismiss an action for	
2	failure to comply with any order of the court"); Thompson v. Housing Auth. of City of L.A., 782	
3	F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986) (per curiam) (stating that "[d]istrict courts have inherent power to	
4	control their dockets" and may impose sanctions that include dismissal).	
5	A court must weigh five factors in determining whether to dismiss a case for failure to	
6	prosecute, failure to comply with a court order, or failure to comply with a district court's local	
7	rules. See, e.g., Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1260. Specifically, the court must consider:	
8	(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation;	
9	(2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases	
10	on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives.	
11	Id. at 1260-61; accord Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642-43 (9th Cir. 2002).	
12	Here, the first two factors weigh in favor of dismissal, because this case has already been	
13	delayed by plaintiff's failure to take the steps necessary to move this case forward. The third	
14	factor also slightly favors dismissal because, at a minimum, defendants have been deprived of an	
15	opportunity to be promptly notified of the lawsuit and prepare their defense. With the passage of	
16	time, witnesses' memories fade and evidence becomes stale.	
17	Furthermore, the fifth factor, availability of less drastic alternatives, favors dismissal,	
18	because the court has already attempted less drastic alternatives. More specifically, the court,	
19	cognizant of plaintiff's pro se status, declined to initially dismiss the case, but instead issued an	
20	order to show cause. (See ECF No. 5.) The court also clearly cautioned plaintiff regarding the	
21	potential consequences of any continued failure to comply with the court's orders. (Id.)	
22	Additionally, given plaintiff's initial request to proceed in forma pauperis and her complete	
23	failure to respond to the court's orders, the court is not convinced that plaintiff could or would	
24	pay any monetary sanctions if they were ordered.	
25	Finally, as to the fourth factor, the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their	
26	merits, that factor is outweighed by the other Ferdik factors. Indeed, it is plaintiff's own failure to	
27	prosecute the case and comply with court orders that precludes a resolution on the merits.	
28	////	

1	Therefore, after carefully evaluating the Ferdik factors, the court concludes that dismissal	
2	is appropriate.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:	
4	1. This action be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).	
5	2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close this case.	
6	In light of these recommendations, IT IS ALSO HEREBY ORDERED that all pleading,	
7	discovery, and motion practice in this action are stayed pending resolution of the findings and	
8	recommendations. With the exception of objections to the findings and recommendations and	
9	any non-frivolous motions for emergency relief, the court will not entertain or respond to any	
10	motions and other filings until the findings and recommendations are resolved.	
11	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
12	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
13	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
14	with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections	
15	to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file	
16	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.	
17	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
18	IT IS SO RECOMMENDED AND ORDERED.	
19	Dated: November 14, 2019 Carch U. Delany	
20	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
21	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
22		
23		
24	15 miner1625.f&R.dismiss	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	