1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MADIHA MINER, No. 2:19-cv-1718-MCE-EFB PS 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, PROSECUTORS OF WASHINGTON 15 STATE. 16 Defendants. 17 18 On February 18, 2020, the court screened plaintiff's first amended complaint pursuant to 19 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). The court dismissed the complaint for failure to state a claim, explained 20 the deficiencies therein, and granted plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint to 21 cure the deficiencies. ECF No. 7. The order warned plaintiff that failure to file an amended 22 complaint could result in the dismissal of this action. The time for acting has passed and plaintiff 23 has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. Thus, it appears 24 that plaintiff is unable to cure the defects in the complaint. 25 Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice 26 for failure to state a claim as set forth in the February 18, 2020 order (ECF No. 7). 27 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 28 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 1

after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: March 24, 2020. EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE