1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TEVIN LEE HARRIS,	No. 2:19-cv-1751 JAM KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	R. VALENCIA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On February 7, 2022, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the magistrate judge's	
18	order filed January 19, 2022, granting defendant's motion to modify the discovery and scheduling	
19	order and granting defendant thirty days to file a motion to compel. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule	
20	303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law."	
21	Id. Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate	
22	judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.	
23	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the	
24	magistrate judge filed January 19, 2022, is affirmed.	
25		
26	DATED: March 16, 2022	/s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
27		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
28		