

1 Plaintiff argues that defendant should be prohibited from serving him with vague and non-
2 responsive responses to his interrogatories. (Id. at 2.)

3 Having considered plaintiff's objections to defendant's request for extension of time to
4 respond to his interrogatories, the September 9, 2021 order granting defendant's request for
5 extension of time is affirmed.

6 Plaintiff's motion to compel and motion for sanctions are related to defendant's response
7 to his interrogatories. However, it is clear that plaintiff filed the motion for sanctions and motion
8 to compel before receiving defendant's response to his interrogatories. For this reason, plaintiff's
9 motion for sanctions and motion to compel defendant to provide adequate responses to his
10 interrogatories are premature. Plaintiff may file a motion to compel, and for sanctions if
11 appropriate, if he is dissatisfied with defendant's responses to his interrogatories.

12 Plaintiff's Objections to Defendant's Motion to Depose Plaintiff by Remote Means (ECF Nos.
13 86, 87)

14 On October 1, 2021, defendant filed a motion to depose plaintiff by remote means. (ECF
15 No. 82.) On October 5, 2021, the undersigned granted this motion. (ECF No. 83.)

16 On October 12, 2021 and October 15, 2021, plaintiff filed objections to defendant's
17 motion to depose him by remote means. (ECF Nos. 86, 87.) After considering plaintiff's
18 objections, the undersigned affirms the October 5, 2021 order granting defendant's motion to
19 depose plaintiff by remote means.

20 Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Defendant's Interrogatories (ECF No.
21 85)

22 Plaintiff requests an extension of time to respond to defendant's interrogatories. Plaintiff
23 alleges that he received the interrogatories (set one) on September 9, 2021. Plaintiff alleges that
24 his responses are due on October 25, 2021. Plaintiff requests until November 23, 2021 to respond
25 to defendant's interrogatories. However, plaintiff also alleges that he responded to defendant's
26 interrogatories on September 26, 2021. Plaintiff alleges that he is seeking an extension of time to
27 respond to defendant's request for production of documents (set one).


28 ///

1 In an abundance of caution, plaintiff is granted an extension until November 23, 2021, to
2 serve defendant with his responses to defendant's interrogatories (set one) and request for
3 production of documents (set one).

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The September 9, 2021 order granting defendant's motion for an extension of time to
6 respond to plaintiff's interrogatories is affirmed;
- 7 2. Plaintiff's motion to compel and motion for sanctions (ECF No. 84) are denied as
8 premature;
- 9 3. The October 5, 2021 order granting defendant's motion to depose plaintiff by remote
10 means is affirmed;
- 11 4. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to respond to defendant's interrogatories
12 (set one) and request for production of documents (set one) (ECF No. 85) is granted;
13 plaintiff shall serve defendant with his responses to these discovery requests on or
14 before November 23, 2021.

15 Dated: October 19, 2021

16 
17 _____
18 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

20 Harr1751.ext
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28