1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ROBERT E. COLEMAN, No. 2:19-cv-1811 TLN AC P 12 Plaintiff. **FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS** 13 v. 14 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND 15 REHABILITATION, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 18 By order filed October 7, 2020, plaintiff was ordered to pay the filing fee within thirty 19 days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in dismissal of this action. ECF No. 8. 20 The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not paid the filing fee or otherwise 21 responded to the order. ECF No. 8. 22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without 23 prejudice. 24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 25 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 26 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 27 with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings 28 and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified 1

| 1 | time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 |
|----|--|
| 2 | (9th Cir. 1991). |
| 3 | DATED: December 15, 2020 |
| 4 | auson Clane |
| 5 | ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 77 | |