1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LIA D. MOLLICA,	No. 2:19-cv-2017 KJM DB
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, ET AL.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On January 4, 2021, plaintiff filed a motion to compel and noticed the motion for hearing	
18	before the undersigned on February 19, 2021, pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(1). (ECF No. 34.)	
19	On February 10, 2021, the partied filed a Joint Statement re Discovery Disagreement pursuant to	
20	Local Rule 251. (ECF No. 35.)	
21	The undersigned's Standard Information re discovery disputes set forth on the court's web	
22	page explains that parties must meet and confer prior to filing a discovery motion and "must	
23	again confer in person or via telephone or video conferencing" prior to the filing of the joint	
24	statement. See http://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/judges/all-judges/united-states-	
25	magistrate-judge-deborah-barnes-db. Here, the parties' Joint Statement reflects the parties last	
26	meet and confer occurred prior to filing the January 4, 2021 notice of motion to compel. (JS	
27	////	
28	////	
		1

1	(ECF No. 35) at 6.) Despite the passage of over a month the parties did not meet and confer prior	
2	to filing the Joint Statement as required by the undersigned's Standard Information. ¹	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. Plaintiff's January 4, 2021 motion to compel (ECF No. 34) is denied without prejudice	
5	to renewal ² ; and	
6	2. The February 19, 2021 hearing is vacated.	
7	Dated: February 16, 2021	
8	aluant	
9		
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DLB:6 DB/orders/orders.civil/mollica2017.m&c.ord	
24		
25	$\frac{1}{1}$ Moreover, it appears that outside of the exchange of emails the parties only met and conferred via telephone once, on December 3, 2020. (JS (ECF No. 35) at 5.)	
26		
27	² To the extent it may aide the parties' future meet and confer efforts, the parties are advised that	
28	the undersigned found plaintiff's portion of the Joint Statement to be quite persuasive. 2	