1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	PAUL NIVARD BEATON,	Case No. 1:19-cv-01418 JDP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
12	v.	SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	U.S. IMMIGRATION, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
17	U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.	
18	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged	
19	violations took place in Solano County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United	
20	States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have	
21	been filed in the Sacramento Division.	
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action that has not been commenced in the proper	
23	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, I will	
24	transfer this action to the Sacramento Division. I will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in	
25	forma pauperis.	
26	It is hereby ordered that:	
27		
28		
		1

1	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
2	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
3	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be	
4	filed at:	
5	United States District Court	
6	Eastern District of California	
7	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200	
8	Sacramento, CA 95814	
9		
10	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
11	IT IC CO OPPEDED	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated: October 11, 2019	
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15		
16		
17	No. 205	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2627		
28		
40		