1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHARLES BARKSDALE,	No. 2:19-cv-02071 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER and FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	JUAN, et al., ,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By an order filed August 5, 2020, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to the	
18	court, within sixty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on defendant. That sixty	
19	day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court's order.	
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall assign a district judge to this	
21	case.	
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
23	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
24	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
27	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and	
28	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	
		1

1	
1	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
2	Cir. 1991).
3	Dated: October 14, 2020 Carop U. Delany
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	12/bark2071.fusm.docx
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	