UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
BRANDON TAYLOR,	No. 2:19-cv-2241 WBS DB P
Plaintiff,	
v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
J. ALARDO, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff claims that defendants used excessive force against him in violation of	
his Eighth Amendment rights.	
On March 30, 2020 a motion to dismis	ss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) was filed on
behalf of defendants Alardo, Martin, and Ochoa. ¹ (ECF No. 20.) By order dated June 19, 2020,	
the undersigned informed plaintiff that failure	to file a written opposition or statement of no
opposition may be deemed a waiver of any op	position to the granting of defendants' motion.
(ECF No. 22.) Plaintiff was directed to file an	n opposition or statement of no opposition within
sixty days. Those sixty days have passed, and	l plaintiff has not filed an opposition, statement of
////	
¹ Defendants D. Easley and the California De	partment of Corrections and Rehabilitation
("CDCR") were dismissed on screening. (See	ECF Nos. 12, 21.)
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN I BRANDON TAYLOR, Plaintiff, v. J. ALARDO, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff claims that defendant his Eighth Amendment rights. On March 30, 2020 a motion to dismist behalf of defendants Alardo, Martin, and Och the undersigned informed plaintiff that failure opposition may be deemed a waiver of any op (ECF No. 22.) Plaintiff was directed to file an sixty days. Those sixty days have passed, and ////

1	no opposition, requested additional time to do so, updated his address, ² or otherwise responded to	
2	the court's order. Therefore, the court finds that plaintiff's failure to oppose should be deemed a	
3	waiver of opposition to the granting of the motion.	
4	For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:	
5	1. Defendants' March 30, 2020 motion to dismiss (ECF No. 20) be granted; and	
6	2. This action be dismissed.	
7	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
8	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
9	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
10	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
11	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the	
12	objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The	
13	parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to	
14	appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
15	Dated: November 18, 2020	
16	INMAND	
17	fillion	
18	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DB:12 DB:1/Orders/Driceper/Civil Dights/tay/2241.mtd.f%m	
24	DB:1/Orders/Prisoner/Civil.Rights/tayl2241.mtd.f&rs	
25	² The court takes judicial notice of CDCR's Inmate Locator system located at	
26	Prison Sacramento. However, plaintiff's most recent change of address states that he is	
27		
28	agency records).	
	2	