2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 No. 2:19-cv-02351-KJM-KJN P 11 ROGELIO MAY RUIZ, **ORDER** 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 A. HUBBARD et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 The court is in receipt of plaintiff Rogelio Ruiz's motion at ECF No. 13, which it 18 19 construes as a motion for to alter or amend the judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 20 59(e). "Under Rule 59(e), a motion for reconsideration should not be granted, absent highly 21 unusual circumstances, unless the district court is presented with newly discovered evidence, 22 committed clear error, or if there is an intervening change in the controlling law." 389 Orange St. 23 Partners v. Arnold, 179 F.3d 656, 665 (9th Cir. 1999). Because Mr. Ruiz's motion does not meet that standard, it is denied. 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 DATED: October 13, 2020. 27 28 CHIEF