1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARY J. CRAGO,	No. 2:19-cv-02510-TLN-CKD
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER
13	V.	
14	SACRAMENTO SHERIFF, et al.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Mary Crago ("Plaintiff") proceeds in this matter pro se and in forma pauperis	
18	under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On August 31, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
21	which were served on Plaintiff and which cor	ntained notice that any objections to the findings and
22	recommendations were to be filed within four	rteen days. (ECF No. 5.) No objections were filed.
23	Accordingly, the Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v.	
24	United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are	
25	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.	
26	1983). The Court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,	
27	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full.	
28	///	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The Findings and Recommendations filed August 31, 2020 (ECF No. 5), are adopted
3	in full;
4	2. This action is DISMISSED pursuant to Federal Rue of Civil Procedure 41(b); and
5	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	DATED: December 1, 2020
8	
9	Thanky
10	True Nuclea
11	Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2