

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STANLEY CARL SAHM,

Plaintiff,

v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS AND
REHABILITATION,

Defendant.

No. 2:19-cv-02515 TLN GGH P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On October 19, 2020, plaintiff was ordered to show cause, within fourteen days, why his action should not be dismissed for a failure to comply with the court’s previous instructions to file an amended complaint. ECF No. 20. Plaintiff has filed a response notifying the court he has filed what appears to be an additional 602 grievance with the state prison for additional claims he seeks to raise in this action. ECF No. 21. However, petitioner has not filed an amended complaint as instructed by the court. Petitioner was previously warned that failure to comply with the court’s order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. ECF No. 20. Accordingly, the undersigned will recommend dismissal of this action for failure to comply with the court’s order.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with the court’s order. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

