

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ROGELIO MAY RUIZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

E. WELSH,

Defendant.

No. 2:19-CV-2518-DAD-DMC-P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the Court is Plaintiff’s motion, ECF No. 50, which has been docketed as a motion for appointment of counsel/interpreter and for an extension of time. This filing is hand-written in Spanish.

The Court cannot accept submissions in a language other than English. United States v. Rivera-Rosario, 300 F.3d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 2002) (“It is clear, to the point of perfect transparency, that federal court proceedings must be conducted in English.”). Sister courts in the Eastern District have reached the same conclusion. Rodriguez v. Ruiz, No. 2:20-cv-1525-JPD P, 2020 WL 5995097, at *1 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 9, 2020). Courts sitting in other districts have also recognized that submissions in federal court must be in English. Arteaga v. Cinram-Technicolor, No. 3:19-cv-00349, 2020 WL 1905176, at *1 (M.D. Tenn. Apr. 17, 2020); Kim v. Chung Sook Lee, No. 18-CV-12230 (CM), 2019 WL 4688692, at *2 (S.D. N.Y. Sept. 24, 2019); Ramirez-

1 Solorio v. United States, No. 1:15-CV-3769-AT-JFK, 2017 WL 2350209, at *1 & n.1 (N.D. Ga.
2 May 31, 2017). Moreover, in other cases filed by Plaintiff, courts in this district have rejected (or
3 recognized that they are unable to consider) submissions that would require translation from a
4 language other than English. Rodriguez, 2020 WL 5995097, at *1; see Ruiz v. Ehlers, No. 2:21-
5 cv-00146-JAM-JDP (PC), 2021 WL 2313385, at *1 n.1 (E.D. Cal. May 5, 2021); Ruiz v. Mobert,
6 No.: 1:17-cv-00709-BAM (PC), 2017 WL 6886093, *1 (E.D. Cal. July 5, 2017) (“As Plaintiff has
7 been previously informed, the Court cannot provide Plaintiff with translated documents, nor will
8 it translate his documents from Spanish into English.”).

9 The Court will sua sponte extend the time for Plaintiff to file objections to the
10 Court’s September 6, 2022, findings and recommendations. Plaintiff may file objections within
11 30 days of the date of this order. Plaintiff’s motion at ECF No. 50 is denied without prejudice to
12 resubmission in English.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: September 27, 2022



15 DENNIS M. COTA
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28