1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSHUA MURPHY,	No. 2:19-cv-02546 KJM GGH P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	
15	CALIFORNIA,	
16	Respondent.	
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding	pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas
18	corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as	
19	provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On January 14, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which	
21	were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the	
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. ECF No. 4. Petitioner	
23	has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. ECF No. 7.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the court	
26	finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
27	/////	
28	/////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed January 14, 2020, are adopted in full;	
3	2. Ground Four is dismissed for failure to state a cognizable federal claim; and	
4	3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial	
5	proceedings.	
6	DATED: February 24, 2020.	
7	In A min al	
8	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	