1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CARL FOUST,	No. 2:19-CV-2579-JAM-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	ALI, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On October 26, 2021, the Court issued an order addressing Plaintiff's second	
20	amended complaint. See ECF No. 82. The Court determined that Plaintiff's Claim II against	
21	Defendant Ali for retaliation is the only cognizable claim. See id. at 3. The Court provided	
22	Plaintiff an opportunity to file a third amended complaint within 30 days to cure the defects	
23	identified in the October 26, 2021, order as to all other claims/defendants. See id. at 7. Plaintiff	
24	was cautioned that, if he elected not to file a third amended complaint within the time provided,	
25	the action would proceed on the second amended complaint and the defective claims/defendants	
26	would be dismissed. See id. To date, Plaintiff has elected not to file a third amended complaint.	
27	The Court, therefore, for the reasons outlined in the October 26, 2021, order will recommend	

dismissal of all claims/defendant except Plaintiff's Claim II against Defendant Ali for retaliation.

Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends that: 1. This action proceed on the second amended complaint on Plaintiff's Claim II against Defendant Ali for retaliation; and 2. All other claims and defendants be dismissed for failure to state a claima. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 14 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the Court. Responses to objections shall be filed within 14 days after service of objections. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: December 8, 2021 DENNIS M. COTA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE