1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ARLINGTON JOHN VRONTISIS,	No. 2:20-cv-0005 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	DEPUTY JURKIEWICZ, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed February 25, 2020, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days	
18	leave to file an amended complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and	
19	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
20	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is	
21	directed to assign a district judge to this case; and	
22	Further, IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
23	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within <b>thirty</b> days after	
26	being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with	
27	the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
28	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that	
		1

1	failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District	
2	Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
3	Dated: April 14, 2020	
4	Ferdal P. Newman	
5	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6	/vron0005.fta	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		