(PS) Dmitriy v. Bell	a		Doc. 6
1 2 3 4 5	a		Doc. 6
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	EGOROV DMITRIY,	No. 2:20-cv-00010-TLN-KJN	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE AND DECLARING PLAINTIFF A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT	
14	KUCHUR BELLA,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	On March 16, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF No.		
18	5), which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the		
19	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. No objections were		
20	filed.		
21	Accordingly, the Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v.		
22	United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are		
23	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.		
24	1983).		
25	The Court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,		
26	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly,		
27	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
28	///	1	
	1		
l	ı		ı

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 7, 2020

Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge