1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	THOMAS SCHMITZ, et al.,	No. 2:20-cv-00195-JAM-CKD PS	
12	Plaintiffs,		
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	A. ASMAN, et al.,	(ECF Nos. 165, 171)	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	On November 19, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF		
18	No. 171), which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the		
19	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. No objections were		
20	filed. Accordingly, the court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United		
21	States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are		
22	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.		
23	1983).		
24	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,		
25	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly,		
26	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
27	1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 171) are ADOPTED IN FULL;		
28	2. Plaintiffs' motion for relief from the court's prior order denying leave to reassert their		
		1	

1	§ 1983 claims against defendants Asman and Bradley (ECF No. 165) is DENIED; and	
2	3. The case is referred again to the	he assigned Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.
3		
4	Dated: December 20, 2021	/s/ John A. Mendez
5		THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
6		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
	al	