

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY DEWAYNE LEE TURNER,  
  
                                Petitioner,  
  
                v.  
  
UNKNOWN,  
  
                                Respondent.

No. 2:20-cv-0201 KJM CKD P

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On June 15, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. (ECF No. 10.) Petitioner has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 11.)

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Furthermore, to the extent petitioner now appears to seek a writ of mandamus directing the state court to provide him with a copy of transcripts, this court is without power to grant such

1 a writ. *Clark v. Washington*, 366 F.2d 678, 681 (1966) (“The federal courts are without power to  
2 issue writs of mandamus to direct state courts or their judicial officers in the performance of their  
3 duties.”) (citations omitted); *Demos v. U.S. Dist. Court for the E. Dist. of Wash.*, 925 F.2d 1160,  
4 1161 (9th Cir. 1991) (“[T]his court lacks jurisdiction to issue a writ of mandamus to a state  
5 court.”).

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 7 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 15, 2020 (ECF No. 10), are adopted in  
8 full;
- 9 2. The application for writ of habeas corpus be dismissed; and
- 10 3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C.  
11 § 2253.

12 DATED: August 11, 2020.

13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
15 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28