## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JACOB DANIEL WOLF,Plaintiff,

No. 2:20-cv-0206 KJM DB P

v.

**ORDER** 

14 RALPH DIAZ, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On July 27, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court /////

1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed July 27, 2020 (ECF No. 12), are adopted in
5	full;
6	2. This action shall proceed against Warden Suzanne Perry for allegedly violating
7	plaintiff's rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12131 et seq. All
8	remaining claims and defendants are dismissed; and
9	3. This case is referred back to the magistrate judge for all further pretrial proceedings.
10	DATED: October 28, 2020.
11	10 A 111 10 /
12	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	