1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 SHANNON O. MURPHY ESQ. SR, dba No. 2:20-cv-00301 TLN AC (PS) SHEETMETAL & ASSOCIATES., 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 AIG CLAIMS, INC. 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The action was accordingly referred to the 19 undersigned for pretrial matters by E.D. Cal. R. ("Local Rule") 302(c)(21). On March 2, 2020, 20 the court granted plaintiff's in forma pauperis ("IFP") application but rejected the complaint, 21 granting plaintiff 30 days to file an amended complaint. ECF No. 3. Plaintiff was cautioned that 22 failure to do so could lead to a recommendation that the action be dismissed. Plaintiff did not file 23 an amended complaint within the time limit. On April 6, 2020, the court issued an order to show 24 cause within 14 days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. ECF No. 4. 25 Plaintiff responded to the order to show cause. ECF No. 5. 26 Plaintiff's response to the order to show cause is largely incoherent, and it does not offer 27 any clear rationale for his failure to timely file an amended complaint. Id. Accordingly, the court 28 finds no good cause for plaintiff's failure to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff could have

1

discharged the order to show cause by actually filing an amended complaint, <u>see</u> ECF No.4, but he did not do so. Indeed, it is apparent that plaintiff is unwilling or unable to prosecute this case.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the court's order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-one (21) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Local Rule 304(d). Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: April 21, 2020

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE