1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ESTER BURNETT,	No. 2:20-cv-0400 KJM DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	KESTELOOT,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On March 16, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
21	served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings	
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed objections to	
23	the findings and recommendations.	
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,	
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed	
26	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law	
27	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court	
28	/////	
		1
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On March 16, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. <i>See Orand v. United States</i> , 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. <i>See Robbins v. Carey</i> , 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court	

1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed March 16, 2021 (ECF No. 14) are adopted in	
5	full;	
6	2. The first amended complaint is dismissed without leave to amend for failure to state a	
7	claim; and	
8	3. The clerk of the court is directed to close this case.	
9	DATED: April 29, 2021.	
10	100 m n	
11	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12	CHIEF DIVITED STATES DISTRICT JODGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	