

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALPHONSO RAMON CLARK,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,  
  
Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-0432 AC P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a former county prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed October 27, 2021, plaintiff was ordered to show cause, within thirty days, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to identify and serve the only remaining defendant. ECF No. 22. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not shown cause or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to identify and serve the only remaining defendant and for failure to follow court orders.

See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m); L.R. 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one days

1 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections  
2 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections  
3 to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file  
4 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.

5 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 DATED: December 20, 2021

7   
8 ALLISON CLAIRE  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28