

In an abundance of caution, the undersigned construes plaintiff's filing as a request for
 extension of time to file objections. However, on March 30, 2020, plaintiff was granted sixty
 days in which to file objections and his amended complaint. (ECF No. 17.) Therefore, his April
 13, 2020 request is redundant and unnecessary, and is denied.

5 That said, the court notes that the objections cited by plaintiff indicate that he intends to 6 rectify in his amended complaint his failure to allege personal jurisdiction, and attempts to 7 explain or clarify the nature of the injunctive relief he seeks. Plaintiff is advised that it is 8 inappropriate to attempt to change the nature of his request for injunctive relief in objections to 9 findings and recommendations. If plaintiff concedes that his prior motions were lacking or 10 inadequate, plaintiff may withdraw such motions and file a different motion along with his 11 amended complaint or include his request for injunctive relief in his amended pleading. By doing 12 so, plaintiff would not be required to file further objections.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Plaintiff's April 13, 2020 filing (ECF No. 19) is construed as plaintiff's request for
 extension of time to file objections to the March 16, 2020 findings and recommendations (ECF
 No. 13); the Clerk of the Court shall edit the docket entry accordingly;

- 2. Plaintiff's request (ECF No. 19) is denied; and
- Plaintiff's objections to the findings and recommendations (ECF No. 13), as well as his
 amended complaint, are due on or before May 29, 2020 (ECF No. 17).

20 Dated: April 16, 2020

KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

23 /brad0436.extd 24 25

13

17

21

22

26

27

28