8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
FAROOQ ABDUL ALEEM,	No. 2:20-cv-0629 KJM CKD P
Plaintiff,	
v.	ORDER AND
PATRICK COVELLO, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Defendants.	
_	
Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil action against employees of	
Mule Creek State Prison. On April 27, 2020, the court screened plaintiff's amended complaint as	
the court is required to do under 28 U.S.C. §1915A(a). Plaintiff's amended complaint was	
dismissed with leave to amend. In response to that order, plaintiff has filed a second and third	
amended complaint. Good cause appearing, the court grants plaintiff leave to file a third	
amended complaint which the court will now screen that pleading pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
23 1915A(a).	
In his third amended complaint, plaintiff alleges that defendant Roderick, a Correctional	
Counselor at Mule Creek State Prison, provided another inmate with financial information,	
specifically "trust information," relating to plaintiff. While plaintiff alleges this was done with	
27 malice, these facts alone do not amount to a violation of federal law. Plaintiff fails to point to any	
/////	
	FAROOQ ABDUL ALEEM,  Plaintiff,  v.  PATRICK COVELLO, et al.,  Defendants.  Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding  Mule Creek State Prison. On April 27, 2020, the court is required to do under 28 U.S.C. §19  dismissed with leave to amend. In response to amended complaint. Good cause appearing, the amended complaint which the court will now 1915A(a).  In his third amended complaint, plaints Counselor at Mule Creek State Prison, provide specifically "trust information," relating to plain malice, these facts alone do not amount to a visual specifical spec

additional facts suggesting defendant Roderick's conduct led to a violation of plaintiff's federal rights.

Plaintiff also alleges he was retaliated against for pursuing administrative remedies against defendant Roderick. However, plaintiff fails to identify any retaliating party.

Accordingly, plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted under federal law in his third amended complaint. On two occasions, the court has provided plaintiff with guidance as to the deficiencies in his pleadings and granted plaintiff leave to amend. Despite this, plaintiff has made no progress toward stating a claim upon which could be granted under federal law.

As plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted under federal law, the court does not have jurisdiction, 28 U.SC. § 1330, et seq., and this case should be closed.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's request for leave to file a third amended complaint is granted; and
- 2. Plaintiff's second amended complaint is dismissed.

## IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's third amended complaint be dismissed; and
- 2. This case be closed.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: November 25, 2020

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE