

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES WINDHAM,
Plaintiff,
v.
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-00773-TLN-DB

ORDER

Plaintiff Charles Windham (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. §1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On January 25, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. (ECF No. 20.) Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed *de novo*. *See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

The Court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge’s analysis.


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations filed January 25, 2021 (ECF No. 20), are ADOPTED IN FULL;
2. This action is DISMISSED; and
3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 13, 2021



Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge