

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD LEE ADAMS,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, et al.,

 Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-0858 JAM DB P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On October 27, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 6.) Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 9.)

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed October 27, 2020, are adopted in full;
2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied;
3. The court finds plaintiff accrued three strikes under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) prior to filing this action;
4. Within forty-five days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall pay the \$400 filing fee in order to proceed with this action.

DATED: December 7, 2020

/s/ John A. Mendez

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE