1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CECIL JEROME HATCHETT,	Case No. 2:20-cv-00892-KJM-JDP (HC)
12	Petitioner,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS BE GRANTED AND THE AMENDED PETITION BE DISMISSED WITH LEAVE TO AMEND WITHIN SIXTY DAYS
13	v.	
14	KEN CLARK,	
15	Respondent.	ECF No. 22
16		ECF NO. 22
17	Petitioner Cecil Jerome Hatchett, a state prisoner proceeding without counsel, seeks a writ	
18	of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. ECF No. 14. Respondent has filed a motion to dismiss	
19	that argues that the petition is deficient because it was not filed on a court-approved form and is	
20	unintelligible. ¹ ECF No. 22 at 2. In his opposition, petitioner states that he attempted to file his	
21	petition on a court-approved form, but his claims arrived "detached" from the form. ECF No. 25	
22	at 1-2. He argues that he should be allowed to correct this problem and to submit his claims on	
23	the correct form. Id. at 2. Respondent concurs. ECF No. 26. Accordingly, I RECOMMEND	
24	that:	
25	1. Respondent's motion to dismiss, ECF No. 22, be granted;	
26		
27		
28	¹ Respondent acknowledges that petitioner is raising a <i>Miranda</i> claim, but cannot discern the specifics. ECF No. 22 at 2.	
		1

2. The amended petition, ECF No. 14, be dismissed with leave to amend within sixty
days; and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

3. The Clerk of Court be directed to send petitioner a federal habeas form. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

13

14

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Jerenz Petersa

JEREMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE