1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7		
8	ι ινητεί ουτ γ. τι	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE EASTERN L	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	GEORGE JOHN KOKOLIOS,	Case No. 2:20-cv-00933-KJM-JDP (HC)
12	Petitioner,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THIS ACTION BE DISMISSED FOR
13	v.	FAILURE TO PROSECUTE, FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS, AND
14	RALPH DIAZ,	FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM
15	Respondent.	OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS
16		DATS
17		
18		
19	Petitioner, proceeding without counsel, seeks a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28	
20	U.S.C. § 2254. ECF No. 1. On May 15, 2020, the previously assigned magistrate judge screened	
21	petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus, dismissed it for failure to state a claim, and granted	
22	petitioner thirty days to file an amended petition. ECF No. 5. Petitioner subsequently filed a	
23	motion for reconsideration of the May 15 screening order, ECF No. 8, which was denied on July	
24	6, 2020, ECF No. 9. Petitioner, however, never filed an amended petition for writ of habeas	
25	corpus. Accordingly, on January 20, 2021, petitioner was ordered to show cause within twenty-	
26	one days why this action should not be dismissed for his failure to prosecute and for failure to	
27	state a claim. ECF No. 12. Petitioner was also notified that he must file, within twenty-one days,	
28		
		1

1	an amended petition, and he was warned that his failure to comply with the court's order would	
2	result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. <i>Id</i> .	
3	The deadline has passed, and petitioner has not filed an amended petition nor otherwise	
4	responded to the January 20, 2021 order. Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that:	
5	1. This action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders,	
6	and for failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the court's May 15, 2020 order.	
7	2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close the case.	
8	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
9	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
10	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
11	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
12	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the	
13	objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The	
14	parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to	
15	appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez	
16	v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
17		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19	Dated: April 6, 2021	
20	JEREMY D. PETERSON	
21	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	