

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTONIO RAMOS, Jr.,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO,  
  
Defendants.

No. 2: 20-cv-0971 KJN P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed June 19, 2020, plaintiff was granted thirty days to file a properly completed in forma pauperis application and a certified copy of his trust account. The thirty days period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order and has not filed the required documents.


In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that

1 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District  
2 Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 Dated: July 29, 2020

4   
5 

---

KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 Ramos971.fifp

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28