

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AARON D. FARINELLI,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-001207 CKD (SS)

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, initiated this Social Security action in June 2020. It was administratively stayed between July 2020 and March 2022. On March 17, 2022, an order lifting the stay was served on plaintiff’s address of record and returned by the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall assign a district judge to this action.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for plaintiff’s failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 182(f) and 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge

1 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
2 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
3 with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings
4 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified
5 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
6 (9th Cir. 1991).

7 Dated: June 16, 2022

8 
9 _____
10 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13

14 2/farinelli1207.f&rs_address
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28