

1 Moreover “Rule 15(a) is very liberal and leave to amend shall be freely given when justice
2 so requires.” AmerisourceBergen Corp. v. Dialysist West, Inc., 465 F.3d 946, 951 (9th Cir. 2006)
3 (quotation omitted); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) (“The court should freely give leave when
4 justice so requires.”). Courts “need not grant leave to amend where the amendment: (1)
5 prejudices the opposing party; (2) is sought in bad faith; (3) produces an undue delay in the
6 litigation; or (4) is futile.” Id. The “court’s discretion to deny leave to amend is particularly
7 broad where the court has already given the plaintiff an opportunity to amend his complaint.”
8 Fidelity Financial Corp. v. Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, 792 F.2d 1432, 1438 (9th
9 Cir. 1986).

10 Here, plaintiff’s request was filed less than 21 days after defendant filed the motion to
11 dismiss. The court has not previously granted plaintiff leave to amend. Nor can the undersigned
12 find that granting plaintiff leave to amend would prejudice the opposing parties, is sought in bad
13 faith; would produce an undue delay, or would be futile. Therefore, and in light of plaintiff’s pro
14 se status, the undersigned will construe plaintiff’s filing as a request for leave to amend and grant
15 that request.

16 Plaintiff is cautioned, however, that if plaintiff elect to file an amended complaint “the
17 tenet that a court must accept as true all of the allegations contained in a complaint is inapplicable
18 to legal conclusions. Threadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere
19 conclusory statements, do not suffice.” Ashcroft, 556 U.S. at 678. “While legal conclusions can
20 provide the complaint’s framework, they must be supported by factual allegations.” Id. at 679.
21 Those facts must be sufficient to push the claims “across the line from conceivable to
22 plausible[.]” Id. at 680 (quoting Twombly, 550 U.S. at 557).

23 Plaintiff is also reminded that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in order to make an
24 amended complaint complete. Local Rule 220 requires that any amended complaint be complete
25 in itself without reference to prior pleadings. The amended complaint will supersede the original
26 complaint. See Loux v. Rhay, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Thus, in an amended complaint,
27 just as if it were the initial complaint filed in the case, each defendant must be listed in the caption
28 and identified in the body of the complaint, and each claim and the involvement of each

1 defendant must be sufficiently alleged. Any amended complaint which plaintiff may elect to file
2 must also include concise but complete factual allegations describing the conduct and events
3 which underlie plaintiff's claims.

4 **CONCLUSION**

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. The May 7, 2021 hearing of defendant's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 10) is continued
7 to August 6, 2021.
- 8 2. Plaintiff's April 15, 2021 motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 13) is granted; and
- 9 3. On or before June 4, 2021, plaintiff may file an amended complaint.

10 Dated: April 24, 2021

11 
12 _____
13 DEBORAH BARNES
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 DLB:6
26 DB/orders/orders.pro se/williams1214.lta.ord