Mirzada v. Wolf et al Doc. 7

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 2:20-cv-01250-JAM-JDP ABDUL GHAFFAR MIRZADA, 12 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE Petitioner. PETITION SHOULD NOT BE STRICKEN 13 FOR COUNSEL'S FAILURE TO GAIN v. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE IN THIS 14 CHAD WOLF, et al., DISTRICT 15 Respondents. ECF No. 5 16 RESPONSE DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN **DAYS** 17 Petitioner, through his counsel, filed a habeas petition in the United States Court of 18 19 Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Court. ECF No. 1. The petition was transferred to this district on 20 June 18, 2020. Id. On June 22, 2020, the Clerk of Court told petitioner's counsel, Sergio 21 Valdovinos, that he was not admitted to practice in this district. ECF No. 5. As of the date of this 22 order's filing, the docket does not show that Mr. Valdovinos has gained admission. 23 Petitioner is ordered to show cause within fourteen days why the court should not 24 recommend that the petition be dismissed due to his counsel's failure to obtain admission to 25 practice in this district. Alternatively, within that same deadline petitioner may file a substitution 26 of attorney showing that he has retained admitted counsel or that he intends to proceed pro se. 27 IT IS SO ORDERED. 28

Dated: October 15, 2020

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE