

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAVON LOVOWE RAMSEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS AND  
REHABILITATION, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-01359-TLN-CKD

**ORDER**

Plaintiff Ravon Lovowe Ramsey (“Plaintiff”), a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On October 21, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 9.) On November 2, 2020, Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 12.)

The Court reviews *de novo* those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines*, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), *cert. denied*, 455 U.S. 920 (1982); *see also Dawson v. Marshall*, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009). As to any portion of the proposed

1 findings of fact to which no objection has been made, the Court assumes its correctness and  
2 decides the motions on the applicable law. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th  
3 Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed *de novo*. *See Britt v. Simi*  
4 *Valley Unified Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).


5 Having carefully reviewed the entire file under the applicable legal standards, the Court  
6 finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate  
7 judge's analysis.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 9 1. The Findings and Recommendations filed October 21, 2020 (ECF No. 9), are adopted  
10 in full;
- 11 2. Plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to exhaust available  
12 administrative remedies; and
- 13 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: December 7, 2020

16  
17  
18   
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 Troy L. Nunley  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28